Tender summary for Supply of new Washing Machines, Washing Machine Repairs and Servicing



NCH – Loxley House, Station Street, Nottingham, NG2 3NJ. NCC - Loxley House, Station Street, Nottingham, NG2 3NG

Overview

Tender Description: Lot 1 - Supply of laundry equipment.

Lot 2 -Washing Machine repairs and Servicing (+ possible removal of coin ops). Independent Living and Sheltered

Schemes.

Contract Reference: N0130

Department: NCH Housing and NCC Facilities

Conflicts of Interest detected - where applicable - and subsequent measures taken

None

Contract Period: 3 + 1 Years

Proposed Start Date: 20th March 2017

Lot 1

Recommended Supplier: Goodman Sparks

Lot 2

Recommended Supplier: Goodman Sparks

If not sub divided into Lots please give reasoning for decision.

Budget Approval: HRA;DDM;Exec Bd;RO	Exec Dir	DDM	
Budget Type	NCH (Revenue) *	NCC (Capital & Revenue) *	
Budget Code (s)	H-H-2654-I&E-356-F — Both Maintenance/service & Supply — all sites. H-H-2650-000-356-F — Foxton Gardens - Both Maintenance/service & Supply	N-G-2381-000-420-1 (Maintenance - Revenue). N-G-2381-000-404-2 (Supply - Capital)	
		Repairs & servicing	£7,902.77
Annual Budget	£36,500	Supply	£6,000
Estimated Costs			
- One-off	n/a	n/a	
- Annual	£36,500	£13,902.77	
Total Estimated 3+1yr			
Contract Value	£146,000	£55,611.08	

Proceeding with the tender process shall represent formal confirmation from the Responsible Officer that budget is in place for the full estimated Contract Commitment (including delegated authority from NCC) and that cost elements have been classified correctly between NCC and NCH budgets.

Contract Type:

ESPO Framework Terms

Tendering Procedure

Procedure used:

Mini Competition through ESPO Framework no 24 Laundry Equipment.

The Contracting Authority made all the documents available to applicants at the date of publication.

If documents were not made available -The contracting Authority justified it to applicants on the following grounds: n/a

Background

Contract has expired, previously used NCC contract.

Result Summary

Applicants Invited to Tender: JLA; Solent; Goodman Sparks; James Armstrong and Co Ltd

Number of Applicants: 4 Number of Returned: 2

Solent; Goodman Sparks

Price Scoring Process

100% Price

Quality Scoring Process

n/a

Award Summary

• Goodman Sparks - Lowest Price

		Goodman Sparks		Solent	
				Direct	
		Direct Replacement	Like for like	Replacement	Like for like
Lot 1	Supply of washers	£66,347.00	£114,297.00	£66,230.00	£111,130.00
	Tot. Incl. Hard Wire Installation	£70,046.00	£120,273.00	£71,050.00	£118,730.00
	Unit with Coin Opp	£75,771.00	£128,922.00	£81,200.00	£134,480.00
	Supply of Dryers	£46,925.00	£69,736.00	£45,300.00	£76,440.00
	Tot. Incl. Hard Wire Installation	£50,097.00	£75,762.00	£49,590.00	£82,970.00
	Unit with Coin Opp	£55,172.00	£70,730.00	£58,340.00	£75,740.00
	Lot 1 Total	£364,358.00	£579,720.00	£371,710.00	£599,490.00

		Goodman Sparks	Solent
Lot 2	Repair/Maintenance of Washers	£4,125.00	£4,125.00
	Repair/Maintenance of Dryers	£4,290.00	£4,345.00
200	24 hr call out	£119.00	£120.00
	Subsequest hours after	£54.00	£60.00
	Loan	£0.00	£165.00
	Lot 2 Total	£8,588.00	£8,815.00

Additional	Ducting (per Meter)	£3.30	£20.00
	Coin op Removal	£3,942.00	£8,760.00
	Provision of Plinth	£261.00	£265.00

Totals with	Direct Replacement	
	Goodman Sparks	Solent
Max total =Lot 1 - Direct		
replacement total + Lot 2	£372,946.00	£380,525.00

Totals with Like	for Like replacement	
	Goodman Sparks	Solent
Max total =Lot 1 - Like for Like		
total + Lot 2	£588,308.00	£608,305.00

r roposed by. Thereit Baldwill			
Signature			
H. Bathin			
H. HOUSE H.			
Date: 2.3.17			
Authorised to award contract to:			
Tutilotto te amai a com action			
Signature	Date	Name	Job Title
			Interim
Ow Baland	2.3.17	Viv Bolland	Procurement Manager
		Antony Dixon	Head of
		-	Supported Housing
		Gill Moy	Director of
			Housing
f		Lesley	Facilities Supply
Voets.	2/3/17	Saxton	Manager
		1	\$1.00 PM
RO Rating of the support provided by	y Procuren	nent Team	
	4 O-41-6-a	4	
Excellent /Good/Satisfactory/No	t Satistad	tory	
Comments/Feedback:			
	<u> </u>		
Procurement Officer Tender Review			
All-t			
What could have been done better What outcomes were achieved – savings	o provious /	contract price / no	u contract price
What outcomes were achieved – savings ocal spend – supplier postcode employi			
Relationship with client	mone occ	o mat wiii bo ordat	ca or approrition
Suggestions for future work			
-	 _		
Procurement Officer Days spent on th	is Tender	:	
Project Start Date :			
10,000 01 2			

Project Completion Date: